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Abstract: This study aimed to describe the creative thinking process of students with active learning styles in proposing and solving problems on geometry material. The research instruments were Honey and Mumford’s Learning Style Questionnaire (LSQ), problem-solving and submission test sheets, and interview guidelines. The LSQ questionnaire was distributed to students majoring in mathematics education at a university in Malang, Indonesia, with a total of 200 students. Students who have an active learning style and meet the specified criteria will be selected as research subjects. Based on research on creative thinking processes in proposing and solving problems in students with active learning styles, it was found that there were differences in behaviour between subject 1 and subject 2 at each stage of creative thinking. However, based on the researcher’s observations of the behaviour of the two subjects at each stage of their thinking, there are similarities in behaviour, namely, they tend to be in a hurry to do something, prefer trial and error, and get ideas based on daily experience.
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Introduction

Creative thinking skills are needed in facing future challenges (Trilling & Fadel, 2009). Individual success is strongly influenced by his creative abilities (Sternberg, 2003). Because success in dealing with problems begins with creative thinking (Susilo et al., 2018). Creative thinking is a process of thinking that is original and reflective and produces complex products, including synthesizing ideas, generating new ideas, and determining their effectiveness, as well as the ability to make decisions. Creative thinking is usually associated with generating new solutions in dealing with problems (Arends & Kilcher, 2010). When associated with the creative thinking process, it can be interpreted as a stage or process that combines logical thinking and divergent thinking in solving problems.

Several researchers have developed theories related to creative thinking processes (Guilford, 1967; Lubart, 2001, 2005; Siswono, 2016; Sternberg, 2003; Walton & Kemmelmeyer, 2012). If previous research has a tendency to use the Wallas stage to find out the stages of the creative thinking process, in this study, the researcher uses the stages of the creative thinking process developed by (Siswono, 2016). This is because at this stage the characteristics of each stage of creative thinking can be known through the process of 1) synthesizing ideas, namely weaving or combining the ideas they have. 2) Building ideas, namely bringing up ideas related to the given problem as a result of the previous idea synthesis process. At this stage the novelty, fluency and flexibility of individuals will be seen in solving problems. 3) Planning the implementation of ideas, namely choosing a certain idea to be used in solving a given problem or to be solved. 4) Implementing ideas, namely implementing or using planned ideas to solve problems.

Problem solving in mathematics requires creative thinking. Problem solving is one type of intellectual creativity (Gagné, 1985) Several researchers have shown a relationship between creative thinking and problem solving (Demir Barutcu, 2017; Hasret & Savaş, 2019; Leung, 1997) The elaboration stage, which is one aspect of creative thinking, is a key factor that stimulates a person to develop their knowledge in problem solving activities. Meanwhile, the relationship between problem-posing and creative thinking has been stated by several researchers. Problem-posing can improve students’ creative thinking, especially in the aspect of flexibility and posing mathematical problems can improve creative thinking, especially in the fluency aspect (Silver, 1997). This study will focus more on the creative
thinking process in posing and solving geometric problems. The ability to propose and solve geometric problems is influenced by learning styles (Aljaberi, 2015). This is because the learning style is a characteristic that a person has in solving a problem. Teachers can use students learning styles to improve learning by building opportunities and student experiences (Massey et al., 2011), so as to create effective learning. Classroom learning can be adapted to student learning styles so that it can improve students' academic scores (Komarrajju et al., 2011; Tyndall, 2017). Several theories about learning styles have been developed by several experts (Gagné, 1985; Honey & Mumford, 2006). But in this study, the learning style that will be used is the learning style according to the theory of Honey and Mumford (2006). Because this learning style can be applied at all levels of education even in universities and this theory already has an instrument in the form of a Learning Styles Questionnaire (LSQ) questionnaire that can be used to detect student learning styles in college (Duff & Duffy, 2002). LSQ has been tested for validity and has been used in several countries (Aziz et al., 2013; Chan & Mak, 2010; Kappe et al., 2009; Marie et al., 2015; Sadler-Smith, 2001). The theory of learning styles according to Honey and Mumford divides learning styles into four types, namely activist, reflector, theoretical, and pragmatic. In contrast to previous studies, this study will examine the learning styles of activism-type students, prospective mathematics teachers. This is because the tendency of students to have this type of learning style is very small, because this learning style is owned by individuals who are active in activities that demand to keep up with the times. Someone with an active learning style learns something through a trial and error process, so they tend to produce original products. They are flexible, open and enthusiastic about trying new things (Honey & Mumford, 2006). That is, if the indicators of creative thinking, then the characteristics of the active learning style have a relationship with the indicators of creative thinking fluent, flexible, original. If it is associated with learning mathematics, especially in geometry material, the character of activists who like the trial and error process is needed to solve problems, especially in geometry material. The existence of this relationship is the reason why researchers choose an active learning style in developing and solving problems related to geometry.

Several researchers have investigated problem solving in geometry, including (Amari, 2016; Sukmawati & Salsabila, 2018; Utami et al., 2019). Geometry is one of the basic concepts that must be mastered by students. Geometry can help students develop visualization, spatial, critical thinking skills, intuition, perspective, problem solving, conjecture, deductive reasoning, and logical argument (Anjarsari, 2019). However, in this study, the focus is on posing and solving geometric problems, especially on the surface area and volume of flat-sided shapes.

Methodology

This research is a qualitative research that aims to describe the creative thinking process of students with active learning style in posing and solving problems in Geometry material. While the type of this research is a case study, where an in-depth longitudinal examination is carried out on the creative thinking process of students with active learning styles in proposing and solving problems based on learning styles by using systematic ways of observing, collecting data, analyzing information, and reporting the results. The creative thinking process of students with this active learning style goes through the stages of synthesizing ideas, building ideas, planning the implementation of ideas, and implementing ideas. The subjects of this study were students of the Mathematics education study program at one of the universities in the city of Malang, amounting to 200 people. Subject selection was carried out by distributing LSQ prospective research subjects. The choice of research subjects was based on Honey and Mumford's LSQ questionnaire analysis. Based on the results of the questionnaire analysis, will know the learning style of each research subject After analyzing the results of the Learning Style Questionnaire (LSQ) into learning style groups, the next step is to select prospective research subjects based on predetermined criteria. The criteria that have been set are: a) Have activist learning style, b) Age in the range of 18-25 years, c) Have the same gender, d) Have attended the School Mathematics Development Study (KPMS) course, e) Have good abilities relatively the same. This relatively equivalent ability was chosen based on the Grade Point Average (GPA) with a range of 3.40 – 3.45. Prospective subjects who meet all of these criteria, will later be used as research subjects. The main instrument in this study is the researcher who is will be better assisted by auxiliary instruments in the form of:

a. Learning Styles Questionnaire (LSQ),

Honey and Mumford's LSQ contains statements to determine student learning styles. This questionnaire has been tested for reliability and validity by several researchers including: (Aziz et al., 2013; Chan & Mak, 2010; Kappe et al., 2009; Marie et al., 2015). In this research, the LSQ has been tested for validity and reliability of the questionnaire. The validity and reliability of the questionnaire are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Cronbach's Alpha</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>Average Variance Extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning Styles Questionnaire</td>
<td>0.696</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the figure, it can be seen that the validity and reliability of the questionnaire is 0.7 and is included in the high category. So, it can be concluded that the LSQ can be declared valid and reliable, so it can be used in research.
b. Test Questions

The test used in this study, in the form of a written test to determine the process of creative thinking in posing and solving problems, related to the material for the second semester of SMP, namely building a flat side space. The following is a test question which consists of 2 questions and refers to the stages of the creative thinking process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Number 1</th>
<th>Question Number 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Given a shape which is a combination of several flat side spaces. Based on this information, create several different questions and their solutions</td>
<td>Given a shape which is a combination of several flat-sided shapes, as in the picture below Based on this information, create several different questions and their solutions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Test Questions for Posing and Solving Problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Honey and Mumford type of learning style</th>
<th>Activist</th>
<th>Pragmatic</th>
<th>Theorist</th>
<th>Reflector</th>
<th>(Reflector and Theorist)</th>
<th>(Pragmatic and Theorist)</th>
<th>(Pragmatic and reflector)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the table, it shows that there are more students with reflector learning styles than other types of learning styles. When compared with the results of the analysis at the time of testing the distribution of the previous questionnaire, it shows similarities. It can be concluded that most of the students (as many as 55 of 200 students), have a reflector type of learning style. The type of active learning style has the least amount when compared to other types of learning styles. Some students tend to have 2 types of learning styles, namely Reflecter and Theorist (28 students), Pragmatic and Theorist (24 students) and Pragmatic and reflector (23 students).

c. Interview guidelines.

The interview guide used in this study is a development process that was adopted from indicators of creative thinking with learning styles. If it is associated with posing and solving problems, it can develop stages of creative thinking that are adjusted to indicators.

Participants

After the research instrument was declared valid and reliable as described in figure 1, the researcher distributed a questionnaire to students of the mathematics education study program at one university in Malang with a total of 200 students. The following are the results of the analysis of the distribution of the questionnaire carried out:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Results of the Distribution of Learning Style Questionnaire (LSQ) Questionnaires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honey and Mumford type of learning style</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the table, it shows that there are more students with reflector learning styles than other types of learning styles. When compared with the results of the analysis at the time of testing the distribution of the previous questionnaire, it shows similarities. It can be concluded that most of the students (as many as 55 of 200 students), have a reflector type of learning style. The type of active learning style has the least amount when compared to other types of learning styles. Some students tend to have 2 types of learning styles, namely Reflecter and Theorist (28 students), Pragmatic and Theorist (24 students) and Pragmatic and reflector (23 students).

After analyzing the results of the Learning Style Questionnaire (LSQ) into groups of learning styles, the next step is to select prospective research subjects based on predetermined criteria. The criteria that have been set are: a) Students with an active learning style, b) Ages ranging from 18-25 years, c) Having the same gender, d) Having taken the School Mathematics Development Study (KPMS) course, e) Having relatively similar abilities. These relatively equal abilities were selected based on the Grade Point Average (GPA) with a range of 3.40 – 3.45. Prospective subjects who meet all of these criteria, who will later be used as research subjects. The following is a list of prospective research subjects who meet the established criteria.

The results of the selection of prospective subjects based on these criteria obtained 9 candidates who met the criteria of 200 prospective research subjects. The following are the results of the selection of 9 candidates who meet the criteria.
Because it has not met the expected criteria in selecting prospective research subjects, a re-election of prospective research subjects is held. Re-election of prospective research subjects was carried out by selecting 9 prospective research subjects with more specific criteria in terms of academic ability, namely having a GPA with a range of 3.40 to 3.45 and the same age (22 years). Based on the re-election of 9 prospective research subjects, 2 prospective research subjects were obtained with details as shown in Table 4 below.

Table 4. List of Research Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research subject</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Grade of KPMS</th>
<th>IPK</th>
<th>Learning Style</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>Activist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>Activist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results of the analysis of the distribution of the learning style questionnaire, it was found that only 2 students with active learning styles met the expected criteria. After obtaining the subject of an activist learning style, the subject was asked to take a test to determine the creative thinking process in posing and solving problems.

Findings / Results

The following are the results of the analysis of the creative thinking process in proposing and solving problems for the two subjects.

1) Subject 1

Based on figure 2, subject 1 can be seen that subject 1 asked a question related to the combination of building blocks consisting of two pyramids and blocks. Then subject 1 asked to determine the surface area of the combined shapes. Based on Figure 2, the subject solves the problem based on the question posed. Subject 1 calculates the surface area of the block and the surface area of a rectangular pyramid without a base. Then calculate the combined surface area of the pyramid and the block. Subject 1 solved the problem posed correctly.

Subject 1 poses questions related to fraction and comparison operations. Based on the questions posed, subject 1 solved the problem correctly. Based on the researcher interview with subject 1, it was found that subject 1 asked questions based on the daily problems faced, and the problem was packaged in-story questions. When solving the
question posed, subject 1 remembers the material that has been received when attending the School Mathematics Development Study course. Based on the results of the interview, subject 1 had no difficulty in answering the test questions. Based on the exposure to the stages of the creative thinking process of subject 1 in proposing and solving problems, it can be concluded in table 4 below.

**Table 4. Analysis of the Creative Thinking Process Subject 1 in Posing and Solving Problems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Creative Thinking Stage</th>
<th>Activist Learning Style Subject Data (S1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posing Problem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Synthesizing Ideas</td>
<td>a. Bringing up ideas related to building a flat side room.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Get sources of ideas based on everyday experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Building ideas</td>
<td>a. Illustrate his idea through pictures of building houses that he encounters in his daily life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Look for the formula for building a space by drawing a shape according to the idea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Planning the implementation of the idea</td>
<td>a. Choose an idea to ask a question, but it doesn’t match the first idea (at the idea building stage).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Difficulty in asking questions, especially story problems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Implementing the idea</td>
<td>a. Although without difficulty in compiling the questions posed, but less varied in compiling the questions posed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b. Can ask questions that meet the aspects of recency and flexibility, but do not meet the aspects of fluency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Solving Problem                          |                                                                                                          |
| 1. | Synthesizing Ideas                    | a. Determine the formulas needed to solve the problems posed.                                            |
|    |                                       | b. The idea is based on the formulas for the surface area of pyramids and blocks, as well as Pythagoras. |
|    |                                       | c. Sources of ideas based on knowledge received in learning in previous courses.                        |
| 2. | Building ideas                        | a. Determine the steps to solve the problem posed.                                                      |
|    |                                       | b. Look for the formulas needed by looking for drawing nets, pyramids and blocks.                       |
| 3. | Planning the implementation of the idea | a. Reduce variation in solving the problems posed because it can only determine one way of solving.   |
|    |                                       | b. Solve questions posed quickly and without any difficulties.                                         |
| 4. | Implementing the idea                 | a. Can solve problems without any difficulty, but make a mistake in calculating the height of the perpendicular side of the pyramid. |
|    |                                       | b. Feel challenged in solving the problems posed, but less thorough in solving problems because they are always in a hurry. |

Based on the creative thinking process of subject 1 with an active learning style in proposing and solving problems in questions number 1 and 2, it can be concluded that:

a) Subject 1 has a character that tends to always be in a hurry either in reading questions or working on questions. This causes inconsistency of ideas at the idea-building stage with the planning and implementing stages of the idea.

b) Subject 1 likes challenges and tends to like learning that comes from everyday experiences.

c) Subject 1 tends to illustrate his idea by drawing based on his idea.

d) When posing the problem in question number 1, subject 1 is less varied in formulating questions in the matter.

e) At the time of posing the problem in question number 1, subject 1 was able to meet the aspects of recency, flexibility but did not meet the aspects of fluency.

f) When solving the problem in question number 1, subject 1 can only meet the flexibility aspect, but has not met the novelty and fluency aspects.

g) Subject 1 found it difficult to work on question number 2, due to the availability of spatial images in question number 2. This limited the idea of subject 1 in asking questions, and was less productive in generating ideas.

h) When asking questions in question number 2, you can ask different questions, but they are less varied in determining the questions.

i) When solving the problem in problem number 2, you can only determine one way of solving it.

j) When solving the problem in question number 2, subject 1 experienced an error in determining the formula for the arithmetic series.

k) At the time of posing the problem in question number 2, subject 1 was able to meet the aspects of recency, flexibility but did not meet the aspects of fluency.
2. Subject 2

**Figure 3**

**Figure 4**

**Question Posed by Subject 2 Problem Solved by Subject 2**

Based on Figure 4, subject 2 poses a question related to the volume of the cube. Subject 2 asked a story that tells of a factory storing its products in a cube-shaped cardboard with a size of 30 cm x 30 cm x 30 cm. If the building stores cardboard produced by the factory, it is arranged in a row pattern, namely in the first row there is 1 pile of cardboard, in the second row there are 3 piles of cardboard and the third row there are 6 piles of cardboard and so on. The question in the problem is if there are 10 rows of cardboard in the building, how many piles of cardboard are in the tenth row and calculate the volume?

Based on Figure 5, subject 2 solves the problem posed. Subject 2 had an error in determining the arithmetic series formula, so subject 2 could not determine the number of the 10th sequence, because he could not remember the arithmetic series formula. The following is an analysis of the creative thinking process of subject 2 in posing and solving problems.

Based on the exposure to the stages of the creative thinking process of subject 2 in posing and solving problems, it can be concluded in table 5 below.

**Table 5. Analysis of the Creative Thinking Process Subject 2 in Posing and Solving Problems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Creative Thinking Stage</th>
<th>Activist Learning Style Subject Data (S2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Posing Problem          | a. Ideas based on pictures of cube shapes, cube formulas, and number patterns  
 |    |                         | b. Difficulty in generating ideas based on pictures on the problem.  
 |    |                         | c. The source of these ideas is obtained based on daily experiences and learning experiences when attending Basic Mathematics lectures  
| 2. | Building ideas          | a. Determine the idea to pose a question, which is in the form of 39 cube-shaped boxes.  
 |    |                         | b. Less productive to come up with ideas.  
| 3. | Planning the implementation of the idea | a. Can illustrate ideas for asking questions by drawing a line pattern.  
 |    |                          | b. Less able to develop the questions to be asked, because of the difficulty in developing questions in other ways.  
| 4. | Implementing the idea   | No difficulty in compiling the questions posed. Even though they can arrange different questions, they are less varied in determining the questions in the questions. |
Table 5. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Creative Thinking Stage</th>
<th>Activist Learning Style Subject Data (S2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. | Synthesizing Ideas       | a. Can determine the concepts and formulas needed to solve the problems posed.  
                                b. The idea comes from the cube formula, numbers and number patterns.  
                                c. The source of ideas is based on the learning experience in Basic Mathematics class. |
|    |                          |                                          |
| 2. | Building ideas           | a. Find the cube formula by drawing nets - cube nets  
                                b. Having trouble finding the formula for a series of sequences.  
                                c. Having errors in understanding the concepts of sequences and series. |
| 3. | Planning the implementation of the idea | a. Can determine the steps for solving the problems that have been proposed.  
                                b. Less able to develop other ways to solve problems, because they can only find one way of solving them. |
| 4. | Implementing the idea    | a. Having difficulty, especially in determining the number of the 10th sequence, because they cannot remember the arithmetic series formula.  
                                b. Experienced an error in solving the problem posed because of an error in determining the arithmetic series formula. |

Based on the creative thinking process of subject 2 with an active learning style in proposing and solving problems in questions number 1 and 2, it can be concluded that:

a) Subject 2 likes challenges and tends to like learning that comes from everyday experiences.
b) Subject 2 found it difficult to work on question number 2, due to the availability of spatial images in question number 2. This limited the idea of subject 1 in asking questions, and was less productive in generating ideas.
c) When posing problem in question number 2, you can ask different questions, but they are less varied in determining the questions.
d) When solving the problem in problem number 2, subject 2 can only determine one way of solving it.
e) When solving the problem in question number 2, subject 2 experienced an error in determining the formula for the arithmetic series.
f) At the time of posing the problem in question number 2, subject 2 was able to meet the aspects of recency, flexibility but did not meet the aspects of fluency.
g) When solving the problem in question number 2, subject 2 can only meet the flexibility aspect, but has not met the novelty and fluency aspects.

**Discussion**

Based on research by (Nielsen & Kreiner, 2017), it is found that each individual has a different learning style. This affects the way they get information or solve the problems they face. The way a person solves problems is influenced by his creative thinking. Several researchers have shown a relationship between creative thinking and problem solving (Demir Barutcu, 2017; Hashimoto, 1997; Hasret & Savaş, 2019; Leung, 1997; Puspitasari et al., 2018; Samani et al., 2019). And, when it comes to learning styles, several researchers have conducted research related to the relationship between learning styles and creative thinking, namely (Eishani et al., 2014; Friedel & Rudd, 2006; Kassim, 2013).

The difference between this study and previous research, in this study, examines the creative thinking process of students with active learning style in proposing and solving problems in geometry material. The results showed that someone with an activist thinking style tends to act first without considering the consequences afterwards, likes challenges and new experiences, and learns through trial and error. This is in accordance with research (Yousef, 2016) which states that Activists learn by trial and error. They are open-minded and enthusiastic about trying new things. If it is associated with creative thinking indicators, then the characteristics with the active learning style have links with creative thinking indicators, namely fluent, flexible, original. The characteristics of the active learning style can be seen in the creative thinking process, where at the stage of synthesizing ideas in asking questions, the research subject reads the questions in a hurry. And to get the answers to the questions, the research subjects tried to draw some geometric figures, such as blocks, cubes and pyramids. Through this spatial image, the research subject builds an idea, so that based on the spatial image idea, the research subject can apply his idea in asking questions. Meanwhile, to solve the problem, the research subjects tried to make nets of blocks and pyramids and wrote the formulas for the surface area and volume of the blocks and pyramids. Based on these nets, the research subjects can solve the problems posed.

**Conclusion**

Based on research on creative thinking processes in proposing and solving problems for students with active learning styles, there are differences between subject 1 and subject 2 in each stage of creative thinking. At the idea synthesis stage in posing a problem, the subjects (S1) and (S2) began their activities by reading the questions in a hurry. The
hasty character tends to be the hallmark of this subject. At the idea synthesis stage in solving problems, subjects (S1) and (S2) can find ways to solve problems and determine the concepts that will be used to solve the problems posed. At the stage of building ideas, building ideas, the subject begins to carry out experimental drawing activities according to the illustration of ideas at the synthesis stage. The images produced by this subject are objects that are often encountered in everyday experience. At this stage, aspects of recency, fluency and flexibility are seen. At the stage of planning the application of ideas, the subject can determine the concept to solve the problem posed. At the stage of applying the idea, the subject can solve the problem posed. But based on the researcher’s observations of the behavior of the two subjects at each stage of their thinking, there are similarities in behavior, namely they tend to be in a hurry to do something, prefer trial and error, and get ideas based on daily experience.

Recommendations

This research is specifically for future mathematics teacher candidates. Because teachers have an important role in improving students’ creative thinking skills. Teachers must be creative thinkers in posing and solving problems. But it is necessary to study and research about the thought processes of prospective teacher students in proposing and solving problems based on their learning styles. This is necessary because every student has a different learning style. This difference in learning styles causes differences in the formation and understanding of information. Researchers consider it necessary to know the picture of thinking made by prospective teacher students in asking questions and solving problems. Because basically student teacher candidates who will become teachers must make questions properly in order to improve the creative thinking skills of their students.

For this reason, it is necessary to conduct further research on the creative thinking process of students with reflector, theorist, and pragmatist learning styles and how to improve creative thinking skills based on learning styles.

Limitations

This study only discusses students who have an active learning style. This is done in order to get a clear picture of the creative thinking process of students who have an active learning style in proposing and solving problems in geometry material. For this reason, further research is needed on the creative thinking process of students with learning styles other than activists.
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